



# Council Meeting Agenda

Wednesday, February 17, 2010  
10:00 am

309 Cranes Roost Blvd., Suite 2000 Altamonte Springs, Florida 32701

---

## I. Call to Order and General Business

- Call to Order – Chair, Mary Martin - Vice Mayor, City of Port Orange
- Roll Call – Karen Heine

## II. Consent Agenda

- January 2010 Minutes – Secretary Daniel O’Keefe (**Attachment 1**)
- January 2010 Financial Report – Treasurer Elaine Renick (**Attachment 2**)

## III. Presentation I-95 Transportation Alternatives Study – FDOT – Presentation by Susan Sadighi – FDOT and Robert Hamm – Wilbur Smith, Associates

## IV. Kissimmee Vine Street Corridor Overlay – Presentation – Bob Wright (Kissimmee Development Services)

## V. SRPP Plan Comments – Council Members to Staff, open discussion

## VI. Chairwoman’s Report – Vice Mayor Mary Martin

## VII. Executive Director’s Report – Phil Laurien

- SunTrust Investments
- Pension Committee Update
- Orange County Budget letter- Chris Testerman
- Governor’s Budget- RPC’s
- myregion Coordination- Water issue
- Seminole County Public School System Economic Impact Analysis

### **Contracts Update**

#### In Progress

- Evacuation Contract –Slosh Modeling Volusia & Brevard Counties
- SR 50 FDOT Corridor Analysis and timeline (Land use inventory- field survey and analysis)

#### Proposed Contracts in Negotiations

- YMCA/ Communities School Siting

## VIII. Planning Manager’s Report – George Kinney

## IX. Announcements/Comments

- An opportunity for Council members and members of the public to bring up events, issues or other items of interest to the Council.
  - Proposed joint RPC meeting Re: Amtrak Coastal Service (Handout)

## X. Adjournment

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

---

January 2010 Minutes

# EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

## COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

January 20, 2010

Chair Vice Mayor Martin Presiding

---

### In Attendance:

#### County Representatives:

Commissioner Cadwell, Lake County  
Commissioner Boyd, Orange County  
Commissioner Brummer, Orange County  
Commissioner Arrington, Osceola County  
Commissioner Carey, Seminole County  
Commissioner McLean, Seminole County  
Councilwoman Northey, Volusia County

#### Municipal Representatives:

Commissioner Sheehan, City of Orlando  
Mayor Randels, Space Coast League of Cities  
Mayor Land, City of Apopka  
Commissioner Grieb, City of Kissimmee  
Vice Mayor Martin, Volusia County League of Cities

#### Gubernatorial Appointees:

Ms. Jackie Colon, Brevard County  
Mr. Al Glover, Brevard County  
Mayor Melissa De Marco, Lake County  
Mr. Dan O'Keefe, Orange County  
Ms. Melanie Chase, Seminole County  
Mr. Lonnie Groot, Volusia County

#### Ex-Officio Members:

Ms. Vivian Garfein, Florida Department of Environmental Protection  
Ms. Cecelia Weaver, South Florida Water Management District  
Jon Moore for Susan Sadighi, Florida Department of Transportation

#### Other Attendees:

Mr. Dave Dingley, Representative for Commissioner Bolin, Brevard County  
Ms. Shelley Lauten, *myregion.org*  
Mr. Justin Bansen, Kittelson & Associates

#### Members not in Attendance:

Commissioner Infantini, Brevard County  
Commissioner Renick, Lake County  
Commissioner Hawkins, Osceola County  
Councilman Kelly, Volusia County

Deputy Mayor Krebs, Winter Springs, Tri-County League of Cities  
Mr. Jon Rawlson, Orange County  
Mr. Julius Melendez, Osceola County  
Ms. Aileen Cubillos, Seminole County  
Mr. William McDermott  
Ms. Nancy Christman, St. John's River Water Management District  
Commissioner Jack Bridges, City of Sanford

ECFRPC Staff:

Executive Director Philip Laurien  
Attorney Jerry Livingston  
Mr. George Kinney  
Ms. Claudia Paskauskas  
Mr. Fred Milch  
Mr. Andrew Landis  
Ms. Lelia Hars  
Ms. Tara McCue  
Ms. Elizabeth Rothbeind  
Mr. Keith Smith  
Ms. Gina Marchica  
Mr. Chris Chagdes  
Ms. Kate Hillman  
Ms. Karen Heine

**I. Call to Order and General Business**

Chairwoman Martin called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Ms. Karen Heine called the roll and announced a quorum was present. The Chairwoman then took a few moments to welcome the new Brevard County Council Representatives: Commissioner Mary Bolin and Commissioner Trudy Infantini. She then thanked Commissioner McLouth and Commissioner Nelson for their services. Commissioner McLean was introduced as a reappointment for Seminole County, taking Commissioner Van Der Weide's place.

**II. Consent Agenda**

Chairwoman Martin asked for a Motion to Approve the December 2009 Minutes and the December 2009 Financial Report.

The Motion to approve the December 2009 Minutes and December 2009 Financial Report was made by Mayor Randels and seconded by Commissioner Cadwell. All approved.

Mr. Glover mentioned a correction that needed to be made on the December 2009 Minutes. He needs to still be listed under the column for Gubernatorial Appointees and not as a commissioner or councilman. A note was made to correct this error in the December 2009 Minutes.

### **III. East Central Florida 2060 Plan (Strategic Regional Policy Plan) Presentation- George Kinney, Planning Manager**

Mr. Kinney began by discussing background information about the SRPP. His presentation covered the plan background, chapter summaries, the adoption process, and information about the implementation phase.

Director Laurien stated per Florida mandate, every 10 years there must be an update of regional policy plans for each of the Regional Planning Councils in the state of Florida.

Mr. Kinney then spoke about the purpose of the Strategic Regional Policy Plan. The SRPP is used to inform comprehensive plans, capital improvement plans, and recommend broad policy direction for local jurisdictions. He stressed that this plan is suppose to tie and work in concert with local comprehensive plans.

Mr. Kinney talked about the breakdown of each chapter, some of the specific policies mentioned, background research, and the sounding boards that participated in the completion of the draft chapters. He mentioned that Council Members will find when they read over the SRPP that there are many common themes that are mentioned throughout the chapters.

Director Laurien highlighted the Demographics Chapter, mentioning that the region's growth rate was in reverse for one year from 2007-2008 and that the domestic out migration exceeded in migration during that same year. He made the point that one year of reverse growth and current slower growth rates significantly changed the overall projected regional population for 2060.

To offer an example of the role sounding boards played in the completion of the SRPP, Director Laurien spoke about the sounding board for the Natural Resources Chapter. He said that the largest sounding board was for natural resources and that the set of policies that came from these sounding boards were thoroughly vetted by land use lawyers, ranchers, and environmentalists. Director Laurien mentioned that he feels very good about this chapter based on the consensus built between sometimes conflicting interests of the members of the sounding board. He then spoke about the Century Commission using some of these natural resource policies at the state level.

While speaking about the Transportation Chapter, Mr. Kinney mentioned that this chapter's focus was on creating a balanced multimodal transportation network. He spoke about density and the ability of the Regional Planning Council to recommend minimum densities for corridors planned for transit oriented development. Director Laurien said it is important for the RPC staff to give support to local politicians and Council Members who will have to go back to their communities in the region and teach their constituents about the need for density increases in transit oriented corridors. He stressed that these new concepts of density increases and development will have to be implemented in concert with the building of SunRail stops. Director Laurien cautioned against just building park and rides to encourage use of SunRail. He gave three good examples of transit oriented development: Portland, Oregon, Charlotte, North Carolina, and Arlington, Virginia. We learn from what they did right.

Mr. Kinney wrapped up his presentation by talking about Chapter 12 which contains the Executive Summary and the steps to implementation. He stated that this chapter also includes chapter summaries, coordination and partnerships, two LUCIS alternative model scenarios (Trend and 2060 Plan) transit density allocations and a list of all policies.

Mr. Kinney then talked about the next steps in the process for approval of the Strategic Regional Policy Plan. First comes the unveiling of the draft plan today so that Council Members have a month to look over the documents and at the next Council Meeting there will be time for comments and notes of revision from the Council Members to be incorporated by the March RPC meeting. After this RPC staff will start organizing the three required public meetings, allowing for a possible fourth or fifth meeting if necessary. In April, RPC staff wants to distribute the plan to media outlets and editorial boards. In June and August RPC Staff will return to the Council with comments from various meetings, which will be followed by final revisions and a formal submission to the Office of the Governor. By November or December the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council will request to have the plan formally adopted.

Following this presentation there were several questions from the Council. Mayor Randels asked if there were any long range plans by RPC staff to sell copies of the SRPP to city and local planners. Mr. Kinney replied that the staff was planning on putting together a pamphlet that would be available to planners that provided a summary of the key points from Chapter 12 to go along with a CD that would have the full version on it. Director Laurien said that it is much more cost efficient to give anyone who wants the plan a CD copy of the SRPP instead of producing a book per person since it costs over \$100.00 to put together each book and only a few dollars to make a CD.

Commissioner Carey asked if the staffs of county and city planning departments would have the opportunity to review content. Director Laurien said that review time for regional planning departments was a part of the schedule for the summer. He stressed that today was the unofficial unveiling of the Strategic Regional Policy Plan in order to get revisions and comments from Council Members.

Commissioner Carey followed up with a question about Transfer of Development Rights (TDR), asking Director Laurien for an example of where TDR has been successfully implemented. Director Laurien answered by saying that TDR is tricky and that success using this policy tool is very small and politically controversial, however, Purchase of Development Rights have been used successfully in a number of places. Commissioner Carey asked how many communities in the region have adopted transit oriented development around proposed stations and how many have amended their comprehensive plans to accommodate that. Director Laurien responded that he does not believe anyone knows that answer, but that he has approached FDOT to suggest they contract with the RPC to catalog all the proposed SunRail station area plans.

Commissioner McLean commented that he believes that the SRPP was built on the "*How Shall We Grow?*" model, and that he knows that while different communities have different perspectives on how to plan for future growth, should the SRPP be thought of as a template to help other counties get to grow in the way talked about by the compact that came out of "*How Shall We Grow?*"

Director Laurien answered yes, that the SRPP is supposed to inform and guide local comprehensive plans and that local jurisdictions must figure out how the policies outline in the SRPP fit into their communities and in that way, the SRPP is a template for the region to use in comprehensive planning.

Commissioner Brummer had a question about Chapter Nine. He wanted to know if the chapter on water took into account the new EPA rule on water that came from a recent court case. Director Laurien responded saying that no, that ruling is not covered since it happened concurrent to the writing of the SRPP.

Councilwoman Northey asked if the numbers used for population growth are from BEBR. Director Laurien said that yes, the numbers are from BEBR as revised and projected to 2060 by the University of Florida GeoPlan Center in Gainesville. Director Laurien then explained the LUCIS Model more fully saying that the model asks the questions, "How can we allocate for future growth and population increases and also identify and protect natural resource areas?"

Director Laurien also mentioned that the SRPP is on the website for viewing.

There were no more questions so Chairwoman Martin concluded by asking Council Members to please send back comments by next month and if anyone on the Council had any questions to feel free to email Mr. Kinney or Director Laurien.

#### **IV. Resolution 03-2010 Supporting Rail to Trails Inclusion in Federal Transportation Bill**

Ms. McCue spoke about this resolution that supports the rail to trails conservancy and explained the changes in the program and how the newly allocated funding that comes from inclusion in the Federal Transportation Bill will expand on the current program.

Commissioner Carey asked whether these changes will affect communities that already have trails in rail corridors; she wanted to know if there will be funding available for those communities. Ms. McCue answered that this resolution is just to support the provisions being put into the Federal Transportation Bill and that as far as maintenance goes, that money would have to come in the form of grants or internal funding sources. Councilwoman Northey stated that she thinks this resolution is a good idea, but that communities must think about setting aside dollars for maintenance because that is crucial for the long term success of local trails.

A motion to support Resolution 03-2010 was made by Councilwoman Northey and seconded by Commissioner Arrington. All were in favor and the Resolution of Support passed.

#### **V. The Approval of the FPL Energy Secure Line Pipeline Agency Report**

Ms. McCue explained the FPL Energy Secure Pipeline Project Schedule and the need for a Resolution of Approval for this report. Ms. McCue spoke about conditions and best management practices and current policies in current policy plan. She mentioned that FPL has had to suspend this project because of recent denial for rate increase but that they still want the report to be submitted so that if rate increase is passed eventually, FPL can still go on with this project and

not lose too much time. Ms. McCue mentioned that this project will go through five of the six counties in the region, but that environmental impacts should be kept to a minimum.

Chairwoman Martin called for questions. Commissioner Carey asked if FPL is canceling this plan and if this approval passes today, will the Council still be able to review the plan again once FPL has the money for this project? Ms. McCue replied that FPL is not canceling this pipeline project, just suspending it until the funding can be allocated to move forward. She also said that the Council would get the opportunity to review this report again once the project was no longer suspended.

Commissioner Carey expressed concerns about approving a project that is currently suspended. Councilwoman Northey said that she shared Commissioner Carey's concerns and that it may be premature to approve this now. Attorney Livingston and Commissioner Grieb also were concerned with premature approval and that the Council should be able to take what has been worked on so far and hold onto it until FPL is back on track with their application. Commissioner Carey said that she would not vote to approve this, but would be okay with tabling the issue until the project is no longer suspended.

A Move to Table approval was made by Councilwoman Northey and seconded by Commissioner Carey. All Council Members were in favor of tabling the approval until the application is renewed.

## **VI. Chairwoman's Report- Vice Mayor Mary Martin**

### **1. Resolution #1-2010 In opposition to Amendment 4**

Chairwoman Martin asked Director Laurien to provide some background on the Resolutions opposing Amendment 4 that would be brought before the Council to vote on today. Director Laurien suggested that the Council approve Resolution 1 and table Resolution 2. He thinks there is support in Tallahassee for an alternative, but the lobbyists working with the Florida Regional Council (FRCA) have mentioned that having another constitutional amendment on the ballot would be confusing, and that it is better if the Council works to defeat Amendment 4 and then has the Alternative Citizen's Right of Appeal ready for the legislative session next year. Director Laurien stated that he thinks the Council's recommended alternative should be statutory not an amendment to the constitution. He also mentioned that FRCA has passed a resolution in opposition to Amendment 4.

Commissioner McLean agreed that having another constitutional amendment on the ballot could confuse people rather than help them. He mentioned that while he was not here in December, it is important to remember that there are two key words that are not in Amendment 4 on ballot: "hometown democracy." Because of this, Commissioner McLean said he does not feel that the Council should have those two words in whatever draft of the resolution is passed. He wrapped up his remarks by saying that he likes the idea of "hometown democracy," but he does not like Amendment 4. Commissioner McLean suggested that all references to "hometown democracy" are taken out of any resolutions of opposition approved by the Council.

Mayor Land said that he knows that Apopka's charter has a citizen initiative section that allows residents more say in land use decisions than what they would get with Amendment 4, and that

having a charter like that at the local level makes things simpler for residents than going to Tallahassee. His suggestion is to eliminate the action taken by state law if local jurisdictions have citizen initiatives.

Mr. O’Keefe had a question about possible revisions to the Resolution in Opposition to Amendment 4 because of all the mentions of “hometown democracy.” He suggested that if the Council is not voting today to attach Resolution 2 that the last paragraph of Resolution 1 should be deleted.

Commissioner Carey said that since Amendment 4 is a constitutional amendment if it passes, that it would trump anything found in local level government charters. She mentioned that with all these new edits, maybe the resolution should be revised and brought back to the Council for a vote next month.

Attorney Livingston said that he does not think there are enough revisions that have to be made to warrant pushing the vote on this Resolution to next month all that really needs to be taken out are all mentions of “hometown democracy.”

Mayor Demarco recommended that maybe the paragraph could be changed to mention just the right of participation by citizens at the local level. The Council spent several minutes discussing possible changes to the wording to make sure that the paragraph talks about citizen participation at the local level while striking all references to the phrase “hometown democracy.”

A Motion to Approve Resolution #1-2010 In opposition to Amendment 4 was made by Commissioner Brummer. The motion included striking “hometown democracy” and the fourth paragraph on the second page. The Motion was seconded by Commissioner McLean and all were in favor.

## **2. Resolution #2-2010 In support of an alternative Citizen’s Right of Appeal to land use Decision**

A Motion to Table Resolution #2-2010 was made by Commissioner Sheehan. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Carey and all were in favor.

Chairwoman Martin then went over the procedure for Council Elections. She asked Attorney Livingston to speak on topic. Attorney Livingston talked about how elections are held at the end of each fiscal year and that terms are one year in length. He went on to say that historically, Council Members have sometimes served an additional year on top of the one year term. Attorney Livingston mentioned that the Council could vote to change from a one year term to a two year term or can continue with the already established policy for Council Elections and term length. He pointed out that October 1<sup>st</sup> is the beginning of the fiscal year, and that Council Elections can take place in August or September during the budget process. Attorney Livingston said that a nominating committee will be selected to oversee this process. Mayor Land asked about the posting for nominating committee and Attorney Livingston answered that the committee will be appointed sometime during the summer.

## **VII. Executive Director's Report**

Director Laurien discussed the Wekiva Commission meeting which will be January 25, 2010.

Director Laurien spoke about the Regional Planning Council's Investment Committee meeting and that there will be a second meeting with the bankers to form a list of suggested investments. Mr. Glover mentioned that he would like to have the Investment Committee meet one more time to find out more about what the yield would be for investments made with this money. Mr. O'Keefe agreed saying a second meeting would be a good idea. Director Laurien said the next thing to do will be to narrow the selection list for investing public monies to investments that will get the best yield and that investment committee will be meeting again to discuss all of this.

Director Laurien mentioned that there are changes in Council pension documents, and that there are two competing documents from pension provider and pension lawyer. He wants to authorize Attorney Livingston to look into the differences in documents. Director Laurien thinks it is appropriate to have a pension committee of the council members. Commissioner Brummer volunteered along with Mr. Glover and Mr. O'Keefe for this new committee.

Director Laurien gave a contracts update on 17-92 corridor analysis and introduced Mr. Chagdes from the Regional Planning Council staff to give a presentation on the 17-92 Community Redevelopment Areas and Analysis. Mr. Chagdes spoke about the four phases of the analysis and described the process for the collection of data that will be mapped and studied. This includes defining and mapping underutilized parcels. Director Laurien explained the windshield survey technique that is used to make sure each parcel is viewed individually to make sure the utilization numbers and classifications are correct. Mr. Chagdes also talked about primary opportunities for redevelopment that were found in this analysis and compared the corridor to the three examples of successful redevelopment: Arlington, Virginia, Portland, Oregon, and Charlotte, North Carolina.

Director Laurien emphasized that he believes that as a region we are on the cusp on a new age of transportation and that SunRail has to be done right, otherwise it will not pay for itself. He said that one thing to remember is that the way SunRail will be paid for is not by the fares collected but by the property taxes that will increase because of new intense development surrounding the SunRail corridor and it is for this reason that intense development to build rider-ship must be encouraged. Director Laurien pointed out that there is a lot of land in this corridor that could lead to great redevelopment opportunities and add to the long term tax base of the county. Mr. Chagdes wrapped up by talking about the completion of the project.

There were several questions from the Council. Commissioner Garfein asked if any of the sites identified were Brownfield sites. Mr. Chagdes answered that this study did not specifically look at Brownfield sites, but it is something that must be done before redevelopment can take place. Commissioner McLean complimented the GIS staff saying that the point of redevelopment is to set priorities and move forward, and the format of project delivery in this analysis has helped make it easy for the county to see what opportunities are out there and to set priorities which will help in moving forward with the redevelopment of this corridor. Councilwoman Northey asked if Seminole County determined the parameters of this analysis or if the Council Staff did. Director

Laurien replied that the process started two years ago and the parameters were agreed upon by County and RPC staff together. Seminole County wanted to know what they had in the corridor and the Economic Development and Planning Office of Seminole County approached the Regional Planning Council and the parameters of focusing on transit oriented development opportunity sites came from that. Councilwoman Northey followed up by asking if this kind of study could be done without the focus on transit oriented development. Director Laurien said that it was possible to look at economic development as the primary focus as opposed to transportation. Chairwoman Martin and Councilwoman Northey agreed this could be useful in Volusia County. Director Laurien agreed saying the point of these studies is to inventory opportunities for a county. McLean said the information was well worth it, got more than what was anticipated for the dollars spent. Councilwoman Northey expressed interest in having this same analysis done in Volusia County in the future.

#### **Next item Resolution for FDOT State Road 50 Contract**

A Motion to Approve the State Road 50 Contract was made by Mayor Randels and seconded by Mayor Land. All were in favor.

Director Laurien briefly spoke on the UASI extension which has been extended.

#### **VIII. Planning Manager's Report**

Mr. Kinney spoke for a moment saying that there was nothing that he needed to point out except that there would be a DRI to discuss during the February meeting. He said that Council Members can email him if they have any questions.

#### **IX. Announcements/Comments**

There were no announcements or additional comments.

#### **X. Adjournment**

There being no further business before the Council, Chairwoman Martin adjourned meeting at 11:45 a.m.

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

---

January 2010 Financial Report

## Financial Forecast

### Statement of Condition as of January 31, 2010

Cash-in-bank on January 1, 2010		\$2,380,448.90
Deposits and Interest - January 2010	\$166,565.11	
Checks Issued - January 2010	-\$105,832.96	
Cash-in-bank on January 31, 2010		<u>\$2,441,181.05</u>

### Financial Forecast for February 2010

Operating Cash February 1, 2010		\$2,441,181.05
Accounts Payable on February 1, 2010		<u>-23,671.13</u>
Net Operating Cash for February 1, 2010		\$2,417,509.92

Anticipated Revenue/Expense for February 2010:		
Accounts Receivables (Revenues)	\$125,500.00	
Accounts Payables (Expenditures)	<u>-115,768.46</u>	
Net Anticipated Revenue/Expense		9,731.54
Anticipated Operating Cash for March 1, 2010		<u>\$2,427,241.46</u>

	<b>Budget</b>	<b>12/31/2009</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Current</b>	<b>Under (Over)</b>	<b>33.3%</b>
		<b>Year to Date</b>	<b>January</b>	<b>Year to Date</b>		
<b>Personnel</b>						
Salaries & Wages (Permanent)	851,920	205,957	66,209	272,166	579,754	31.9%
Fringe Benefits	300,000	64,874	23,554	88,428	211,572	29.5%
Outside /Temporary Services	26,000	3,217	567	3,784	22,216	14.6%
Contract Labor-SRPP and contracts	83,645	-	308	308	83,337	0.4%
Interns	30,000	6,827	1,845	8,672	21,328	28.9%
Unemployment	3,500		3,025	3,025	3,500	86.4%
<b>Total Personnel</b>	<b>1,295,065</b>	<b>280,875</b>	<b>95,508</b>	<b>376,383</b>	<b>918,682</b>	<b>29.1%</b>
<b>Overhead</b>						
Annual Audit	17,000	578	-	578	16,422	3.4%
Advertising/Regional Promotion	4,000		-		4,000	0.0%
Computer Ops (General)	29,664	1,935	503	2,438	27,226	8.2%
Depreciation/Use Charge	12,000	3,000	1,000	4,000	8,000	33.3%
Equipment (General)	22,000	1,990	955	2,945	19,055	13.4%
Equipment Maintenance/Rental	1,500		-		1,500	0.0%
Equipment Lease/Sales Taxes	400		2	2	398	0.5%
Graphics/Outside Printing	30,000	4,222	1,377	5,599	24,401	18.7%
Insurance	14,000	1,660	879	2,539	11,461	18.1%
Inter-Regnl Bd Rel (travel/training)	3,500		395	395	3,105	11.3%
Legal Counsel	44,000	10,000	3,333	13,333	30,667	30.3%
Library/Publications/Subscriptions	3,000	221	404	625	2,375	20.8%
Office Supplies	12,000	2,174	828	3,002	8,998	25.0%
Pension Fund Mgmt. Fee	900		-		900	0.0%
Postage	12,000	1,204	214	1,418	10,582	11.8%
Professional Dues	26,000	6,569	2,119	8,688	17,312	33.4%
Rent	119,000	29,750	9,917	39,667	79,333	33.3%
Office Maintenance	2,000	840	463	1,303	697	65.2%
Staff Training	9,000	971	835	1,806	7,194	20.1%
Telephone & Communications	8,000	1,663	347	2,010	5,990	25.1%
Staff Travel	24,000	4,461	1,058	5,519	18,481	23.0%
Recruiting	4,000		-		4,000	0.0%
Hmep Training	40,000	2,000	682	2,682	37,318	6.7%
GIS Coordination	3,000		-		3,000	0.0%
GIS Data Collection	1,500		-		1,500	0.0%
Consultants (DRI)	64,000	12,376	-	12,376	51,624	19.3%
Consultants(SRPP)	15,000		-		15,000	0.0%
Consultants(UASI Training & Exercs	164,000		-		164,000	0.0%
Consultants CFGIS/LRTP tool	10,000		-		10,000	0.0%
Consultants (Cape Canaveral)		300	-	300		
Storage-Off Site Records	1,600	407	-	407	1,193	25.4%
Meeting Expenses	10,000	1,230	208	1,438	8,562	14.4%
REMI Annual Maintenance	20,000	5,150	1,717	6,867	13,133	34.3%
S. Bitar VISA Sponsorship	4,000	720	-	720	3,280	18.0%
<b>Total Overhead</b>	<b>731,064</b>	<b>93,421</b>	<b>27,236</b>	<b>120,657</b>	<b>610,407</b>	<b>16.5%</b>
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>2,026,129</b>	<b>374,296</b>	<b>122,744</b>	<b>497,040</b>	<b>1,529,089</b>	<b>24.5%</b>

Project:	General	DRI	FY10 DCA	FY10 LEPC Staff Support	FY10 Haz Mat Emrg Preparedness	FDOT Cont & Imp of CFGIS	Regional Evacuation Study	USDC EDAC/CEDS FY08-FY09	REMI Sanibel County Public Schools	17-92.2 Seminole County	Casselberry Intersection 17-92 & 436	Cape Canaveral Visioning	Palm Bay Sign Code	State TEP 2010-2012 Update	State TEP 2011-2013 Update	RDSIF	UASI RFP	TIC Plan	Total	
REVENUES																				
Revenues Paid:	579,209.00																			579,209.00
Member Assessments																				0.00
Member REMI Contributions																				8,890.88
Federal																				121,427.44
State																				6,000.00
Local																				52,587.15
DRI Fees																				7,310.75
Other																				0.00
Total Revenues Received	586,519.75	52,587.15	117,254.04	4,173.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,890.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	775,415.22	
Account Receivables:																				6,152.53
Member Assessments																				33,240.99
Federal																				64,589.15
State																				43,671.60
Local/Other																				0.00
Total Accounts Receivables																				141,482.27
<b>TOTAL REVENUES</b>	<b>586,519.75</b>	<b>52,587.15</b>	<b>168,217.14</b>	<b>12,906.18</b>	<b>1,277.82</b>	<b>1,912.85</b>	<b>18,819.85</b>	<b>15,381.32</b>	<b>5,000.00</b>	<b>38,189.81</b>	<b>481.79</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>6,000.00</b>	<b>2,928.23</b>	<b>52.19</b>	<b>2,722.18</b>	<b>3,891.15</b>	<b>6,182.08</b>	<b>923,069.49</b>	
EXPENDITURES																				
Salaries	63,094.75	23,338.35	95,181.44	5,284.16	242.93	1,114.48	10,688.12	8,393.07	3,680.92	22,487.43	280.25	6,995.99	2,186.12	1,700.48	30.36	1,563.84	2,216.72	3,552.82	252,032.23	
Fringe Benefits (Pool)	20,045.57	7,810.14	28,391.08	1,778.80	81.78	371.47	3,576.10	2,825.35	1,239.10	6,539.54	94.34	2,239.27	887.11	572.43	10.22	528.43	746.21	1,195.98	78,730.92	
Indirect Cost (Pool)	23,793.28	8,914.14	35,364.27	2,021.29	92.93	425.25	4,092.16	3,210.51	1,408.02	8,307.00	107.20	2,642.96	822.27	650.47	11.61	598.20	847.94	1,359.02	94,658.52	
Unemployment Comp	3,025.00																			0.00
Audit Fees																				0.00
Advertising/Regional Promotion	1,424.67																			1,424.67
Computer Operations	1,093.49																			1,093.49
Dues	2,944.69																			2,944.69
Equipment	2,723.49	43.06	5,744.44	48.47	435.79		169.03	0.49	0.40	681.61	385.58		2.17	4.85	0.85	4.19		32.51	10,276.83	
Graphics	395.22																			395.22
Inter-Reginl Bd Relations	13,333.32																			13,333.32
Legal	265.27																			265.27
Office Supplies	371.95	66.39	468.52	682.10	1.56	1.65	6.14									17.55	59.70		731.79	
Postage	416.97																			416.97
Publications																				0.00
Recruiting																				0.00
Rent																				0.00
Equipment Rent & Maintenance	1,805.75																			1,805.75
Staff Training																				0.00
HMEP Training																				0.00
Taxes, Sales/Property	2.00																			2.00
Telephone																				0.00
Travel	1,914.65	39.21	1,318.51	510.93			298.30	951.90		174.23		189.41	64.92			15.31			41.75	
Temporary Labor/Outside Services	1,667.60		1,236.40	295.20																5,519.12
Interest Expense																				3,159.20
DATA Fees																				0.00
Consultants																				0.00
GIS Coordination																				12,983.86
CFGIS Workshop Expense																				0.00
Meeting Expenses	1,438.58																			1,438.58
REMI Annual Maintenance	6,866.67																			6,866.67
Web Site Maintenance																				0.00
Web Site Upgrade																				0.00
S. Bihar VISA Sponsorship	720.00																			720.00
Office Manuf/painting	1,302.68																			1,302.68
New Office Fit Up																				0.00
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>148,645.60</b>	<b>52,587.15</b>	<b>168,217.14</b>	<b>12,906.18</b>	<b>1,277.82</b>	<b>1,912.85</b>	<b>18,819.85</b>	<b>15,381.32</b>	<b>6,328.44</b>	<b>38,189.81</b>	<b>481.79</b>	<b>12,753.21</b>	<b>3,762.59</b>	<b>2,928.23</b>	<b>52.19</b>	<b>2,722.18</b>	<b>3,891.15</b>	<b>6,182.08</b>	<b>497,039.56</b>	