



Council Meeting Agenda

Wednesday, January 20, 2010
10:00 am

309 Cranes Roost Blvd., Suite 2000 Altamonte Springs, Florida 32701

I. Call to Order and General Business

- Call to Order – Chair, Mary Martin - Vice Mayor, City of Port Orange
- Welcome new Brevard Council Reps. Commissioner Mary Bolin and Commissioner Trudy Infantini and thank you to Port Canaveral Commissioner McLouth and County Commissioner Chuck Nelson for their services.
- Roll Call – Karen Heine

II. Consent Agenda

- December 2009 Minutes – Secretary Daniel O’Keefe (**Attachment 1**)
- December 2009 Financial Report – Treasurer Elaine Renick (**Attachment 2**)

III. East Central Florida 2060 Plan (Strategic Regional Policy Plan) Presentation – George Kinney -(30 mins)

IV. Resolution 03-2010 Supporting Rail to Trails inclusion in Federal Transportation Bill (Attachment 3)

V. The approval of the FPL Energy Secure Line Pipeline Agency Report. (Attachment 4)

VI. Chairwoman’s Report – Vice Mayor Mary Martin

- Amendment 4 – Two proposed resolutions Jerry Livingston and Phil Laurien (10 minutes)
 1. Resolution #1-2010 In opposition to Amendment 4 (**Attachment 5**)
 2. Resolution #2-2010 In support of an alternative Citizen’s Right of Appeal to land use decision. (**Attachment 6**)
- Procedure for election of offices @ Fiscal year end (Chair Mary Martin & Jerry Livingston)

VII. Executive Director’s Report – Phil Laurien

- Wekiva Commission Meeting January 25, 2010 1:30 pm – 3:30 pm @ Lake Mary Event Center
- Investment Committee Report– Phil Laurien

Contracts Update

In Progress

- Seminole County US 17-92.2 Corridor Analysis – Presentation (Phil Laurien, Keith Smith and Chris Chagdes) (10 minutes)

New Contracts

- SR 50 FDOT \$ 23,000.00 Corridor Analysis and timeline (JPA Approved but no contract signed) (1 minute)
- UASI Extension signed \$270,000.00

VIII. Planning Manager’s Report – George Kinney

IX. Announcements/Comments

- An opportunity for Council members and members of the public to bring up events, issues or other items of interest to the Council.

X. Adjournment

ATTACHMENT 1

December 2009 Minutes

EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

December 16, 2009

Chair Vice Mayor Martin Presiding

In Attendance:

County Representatives

Commissioner McLouth, Brevard County
Commissioner Cadwell, Lake County
Commissioner Renick, Lake County
Commissioner Boyd, Orange County
Commissioner Brummer, Orange County
Commissioner Arrington, Osceola County
Councilman Kelly, Volusia County

Municipal Representatives

Commissioner Sheehan, City of Orlando
Mayor Randels, Space Coast League of Cities
Commissioner Grieb, City of Kissimmee
Commissioner Krebs, Winter Springs
Vice Mayor Martin, Volusia County League of Cities

Gubernatorial Appointees

Councilman Al Glover, Brevard County
Mayor Melissa DeMarco, Lake County
Mr. Jon Rawlson, Orange County
Mr. Dan O'Keefe, Orange County
Mr. William McDermott, Economic Development

Ex-Officio Members

Ms. Nancy Christman, St. John's River Water Management District
Ms. Vivian Garfein, Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Ms. Cecelia Weaver, South Florida Water Management District
Mr. Jon Moore, Florida Department of Transportation

Members Not in Attendance

Commissioner Nelson, Brevard County
Commissioner Hawkins, Osceola County
Commissioner Van Der Weide, Seminole County
Commissioner Carey, Seminole County
Councilwoman Northey, Volusia County
Commissioner Nelson, Brevard County
Mayor Land, City of Apopka
Ms. Jackie Colon, Brevard County

Mr. Julius Melendez, Osceola County
Ms. Melanie Chase, Seminole County
Ms. Aileen Cubillos, Seminole County
Mr. Lonnie Groot, Volusia County
Commissioner Jack Bridges, City of Sanford

ECFRPC Staff

Executive Director Philip Laurien
Mr. George Kinney
Ms. Claudia Paskauskas
Mr. Fred Milch
Mr. Andrew Landis
Ms. Lelia Hars
Ms. Tara McCue
Ms. Elizabeth Rothbeind
Ms. Gina Marchica
Mr. Chris Chagdes
Mr. Matthew Boerger

I. Call to Order and General Business

Chairwoman Martin called the Council Meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Ms. Karen Heine called the roll and announced that a quorum was present.

II. Consent Agenda

Chairwoman Martin asked for a Motion to approve the November 2009 Minutes and the November 2009 Financial Report.

Councilman Glover had a question about financials regarding the allocation of money that had been set aside to buy a building. Director Laurien said the \$1.6 million that had been earmarked to buy office space is still in the general reserve. ECFPRC leased its current space as opposed to the purchase of a building. Discussion began among the Council on question of whether money should be moved to the general fund. All agreed the money should be in the general reserve fund.

Councilman Kelly made the Motion to accept the November 2009 minutes, seconded by _____ (?) Chairwoman Martin called the question on minutes, they were approved. Commissioner McLouth made a motion to Approve the November 2009 Financial Report. Commissioner Krebs seconded the motion. All approved the financial report with the notation that the money in the building fund is to be returned to the general reserve.

III. Resolution of Support for the 2010 Census and the importance of a complete count.

Ms. McCue from the Regional Planning Council Staff gave a presentation on the importance of residents in this region participating in the 2010 Census. She gave a basic overview of the census: it takes ten minutes to fill out the census; loss of funding for counties will occur if people do not participate and an accurate count cannot be made. She talked about getting the word out so the public will participate. Director Laurien emphasized that \$15,000 is the average of what

an undercount will cost counties per person over the next decade in lost Federal funding. The Council discussed this loss of funding from lack of participation in the 2010 Census and why a resolution of support from the Council is necessary.

The motion to approve Resolution 07-2009 was made by _____ (?) and seconded by _____ (?) all were in favor of approving the resolution.

IV. Cape Canaveral Vision- Presentation by Elizabeth Rothbeind

Ms. Rothbeind discussed the visioning project for the City of Cape Canaveral. She discussed the visual preferences survey where participants could pick pictures based on what they wanted to see in future development in their community. She discussed the themes identified by participants for potential redevelopment opportunities and unveiled the vision statement that was created by citizens in the workshops. Ms. Rothbeind briefly discussed implementation and how that is the next step for Cape Canaveral.

Mayor Randels spoke next. He began by thanking the Council for allowing the planning staff to come and bring the community together for this visioning process. He said it was a good start for what will come next in terms of implementation. Mayor Randels said it was well worth the time, and that while changes will not happen overnight, there are lots of opportunities for the future.

Director Laurien said that one of the first things that participants realize when participating in this visioning process is how much in common they have with each other in what they want for the future of their city. He stressed this was a process that can be easily replicated in other cities in the region. Director Laurien said that things are already happening in Cape Canaveral such as dilapidated buildings are being taken care of so that new growth can occur. He concluded that this is a good thing for the community.

Mayor Randels talked about identifying commercial and residential code enforcement problems and how the city is fixing them. He believes that the visioning process was a good catalyst for getting these things done.

Commissioner McLouth said he would like to see this visioning process pitched to the City of Cocoa so that they can see the value of the visioning process and hopefully use it in future planning. Chairwoman Martin agreed it is a good presentation and that if counties or cities want to do a visioning process this would be a helpful presentation for them. Councilman Glover asked for the price of the visioning process. Director Laurien quoted the price at \$35,000. Mayor Demarco asked if there was a charge to deliver the presentation and Director Laurien said that there was no charge for delivering a presentation on the Cape Canaveral Visioning process to other communities in the region.

V. Chairwoman's Report- Vice Mayor Mary Martin

Chairwoman Martin spoke about changing the time for Council meetings from 10:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. She asked for comments from the Council. The Council discussed the time change. Commissioner Grieb and Commissioner Sheehan stated they prefer the 10:00 a.m. start time rather than 9:00 a.m. Commissioner Sheehan mentioned that if the Council tightens up the agenda there is no reason why the meetings should last longer than noon. Chairwoman Martin

asked if the general consensus was to leave the meeting time at 10:00 a.m. and it was. Chairwoman Martin discussed FRCA board. A motion was made by _____ (?) to approve the FRCA policy board and seconded by _____ (?) All were in favor.

Chairwoman Martin presented Resolution 08-2009 and Attorney Livingston spoke on the resolution. He talked about the few differences, about whether the Council should offer a land use amendment alternative to Amendment 4 that is being offered up on the ballot next fall.

Director Laurien went over what has worked in Ohio, the citizens' right of referendum to regulate land use. Citizens have 30 days to file a petition to appeal decisions. Director Laurien mentioned that this is offered as an alternative. Director Laurien discussed Amendment 4 and the Council's position on it. He stated that instead of just opposing Amendment 4, the Council should offer an alternative like Ohio's right of citizen appeal to a land use decision. Director Laurien explained Ohio Revised Code 303 (12) (H) and how it is used on land use planning decisions in Ohio on a case by case basis instead of requiring residents to vote on each and every land use issue like they would with Amendment 4.

Director Laurien said the most important part of the Ohio Code 303 (12) (H) is that it is "rarely invoked, often threatened" and that is how it influences a compromise; threat alone is enough to improve or deny land use changes perceived by the neighbors and residents to be inappropriate. He went on to say that offering an alternative like this code would be much more effective than Florida residents having to vote on an average of 10,000 total land use changes a year for the state of Florida like they would if Amendment 4 passes.

Attorney Livingston said that this is a policy matter for the Council to decide how they want to handle it, if the Council's stance is in opposition to Amendment 4, and offer the alternative, this he says, is one alternative that could be offered.

Chairwoman Martin called for a discussion; Councilman Glover asked if there is any way to market our resolution and alternative that makes sure that people will see the alternative, he is afraid that people may miss the alternative if it is located on the back of the resolution. Commissioner Renick repeated her position stated at the October 2009 Council Meeting: there is no reason why we have to do a resolution at all, and that she is opposed to the Council taking a stance or offering an alternative to Amendment 4 because of negative public perception associated with groups that are taking a stance against this amendment. Councilman Kelly agreed, saying that he is against any resolution from the Council that goes against the movement of the people who have started this grassroots movement for Hometown Democracy. He is not sure if what is being suggested today is the right statement to make on Amendment 4. Commissioner McLouth said he really likes this alternative suggested from the Ohio example. He stressed that the council is influential and the Council must take a stand on this issue. Commissioner Brummer said that if the Council does not take a stand on Amendment 4 there is no real reason to exist. He said that it is appropriate to oppose Amendment 4 and then send a resolution to the Legislature with the Council's agreed upon alternative.

Attorney Livingston mentioned that as a practical matter the resolution is not the issue, the real thing is getting the legislature to do something quickly this session. Mr. Rawlson said he would be in favor of breaking the resolutions into two separate documents, one being the Council's

opposition and one being the Council's alternative. He believed that this would lead to better communication with legislatures and would help gain their support of the alternative to Amendment 4. Mr. Rawlson made a Motion to approve two separate resolutions on why the Council is opposed to Amendment 4 and then one that offers an alternative to Amendment 4. This motion was seconded by Commissioner McLouth. Mayor Demarco said one of the issues she has is making sure that the Council acknowledges the importance of a representative democracy. She went on to say that the Council must acknowledge the importance of representing the people of the region. Commissioner Krebs agreed with Mayor Demarco, saying, that acknowledgement must be made of the power given to citizens in the land use process. Commissioner Renick brought up the psychology of opposing Amendment 4. She feels that putting an alternative out there now could mean people seeing that alternative in a negative light, and draw the conclusion that the Council was taking a stance on the opposite side of an amendment that would give more power to people. She suggested that it may be better to bring this alternative up once Amendment 4 passes. Mr. Rawlson disagreed saying the timing is perfect for bringing it up with the legislatures. Chairwoman Martin stated after the discussion that there is a motion on the floor to separate the two amendments, a separate amendment for the alternative and one for the Council's opposition to Amendment 4.

Director Laurien said the Council's Resolution of Opposition and Alternative Plan could be ready by January. Chairwoman Martin asked if there is a recommendation to add a clause that covers the aspect of acknowledging representative democracy for the people. Director Laurien summed up the new revisions on the motion/resolution: split the resolution in half, stating opposition and then alternative, also add a clause about representative democracy. Attorney Livingston said that we must get the idea and message to legislature, so it can be drafted into a bill. Commissioner McLouth called the question, which was seconded by Mr. Rawlson, all approved. Chairwoman Martin restated that the motion that was approved was to separate the two items, the opposition to amendment four and the alternative presented with an emphasis on the importance of representative democracy with citizen participation.

Attorney Livingston summed up what would be worked on, an Opposition Resolution and an Alternative to Amendment 4 Resolution. Chairwoman Martin called for vote on splitting it apart. A motion was made by _____ (?) to split apart the resolution into an Opposition Resolution and an Alternative to Amendment 4 Resolution. The Motion was seconded by _____ (?) Two Council Members were in opposition: Councilman Kelly and Commissioner Renick. All other Council Members approved of this motion to split the Resolution into an Opposition Resolution and an Alternative to Amendment 4 Resolution. Attorney Livingston said he would bring the Resolution to the Council at the January meeting. Commissioner Brummer asked if they could get the motion and resolution before the FRCA meeting via email so they could support it at the meeting. Director Laurien said that information will be sent to council members before the FRCA meeting. Councilman Kelly clarified his stance, said he does not stand in opposition of this plan, but he is in opposition to the resolution that does not support Amendment 4.

VI. Executive Director's Report- Director Philip Laurien SunRail Presentation

Director Laurien briefly discussed how the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and SunRail could act as transportation catalysts for smart growth and economic development across the region. He

talked about how SunRail is critically important to the regional policy plan. Director Laurien spoke about lessons learned when doing the SRPP chapter on Transportation. Director Laurien emphasized that the Council can recommend minimum densities which would help feed transit systems in the region. Director Laurien used the Rosslyn Ballston Corridor in Northern Virginia as an example of what can be accomplished with corridor densities and building that supports transit. He showed the Council a glimpse of the future of Central Florida, called Trend 2060 that shows what will happen to this region if nothing different is done in planning/growth. Director Laurien also discussed what would happen to how we would grow if Transit Oriented Development and other sustainable planning patterns are followed. He stressed that rail transit must be done right; if it is done wrong or too late it won't pay for itself or be a benefit to the region. This discussion served as a first taste of the strategic policy plan that will be unveiled next month.

Commissioner Brummer said he had recently been to Washington, DC to lobby Congressional Representatives, Congresswoman Brown and Congressman Mica on SunRail eventually coming all the way to Lake County. He said they were both very receptive to the idea. Commissioner Brummer believes that in four years SunRail could go all the way to Eustis. Commissioner Brummer closed with the thought that he thinks it is very important for people to recognize that increased densities are imperative for transit and quality of life, and that he is very happy about the potential for what SunRail will bring.

Director Laurien gave a quick update on the Edgewater Comprehensive Plan. Attorney Livingston said the Comprehensive Plan Amendments have been settled and approved.

Ms. McCue gave a presentation on Wekiva Parkway to give the Council an update on the status of the Wekiva Parkway Trail efforts. This is an assessment on the multi-use trail accommodations for the Wekiva Parkway Trail. She talked about the need of connectivity in this area, and coordination with government agencies, land managers and trail stakeholders. The Wekiva Parkway Trail could link Mount Dora and Lake County Trails to Seminole County and Orange in the form of alternative transportation options.

Mr. Landis spoke on the I-95 Transportation Alternative Study that will be due in June. This is a study that is mandated by House Bill 1021 and will look at economic development, homeland security, and transportation. He said the RPC will be working with a number of local and state partners to complete this study. Mr. Landis wrapped up his presentation by saying that he has not met with FDOT yet, but they will be coming to the Council in February to speak about project.

Ms Marchica gave a presentation on automated GIS; she talked about unemployment trends, urban growth, and oil spills. These were examples brought in from outside our region to just show the potential of what GIS can do for showing regional trends in Central Florida. The Council thought this was a great presentation and a good way to display such data. Commissioner Sheehan asked if this graph could be given to Council members since they are a good tool for showing patterns of regional trends. Chairwoman Martin said that using GIS is a good alternative way to show information on trend patterns and land use.

Director Laurien discussed the update on contracts both in progress, ongoing and proposed contracts in negotiation. Mr. Weiss from FDOT said hopefully the RPC will get the draft today for the SR 50 Corridor Analysis. Mr. Rawlson asked about the nomination process for nominating committee. Attorney Livingston said it should take place in the next couple months with the elections being held in July or August. The council discussed the term schedule and normal term length for officers. Attorney Livingston said a nominating committee could be put together at next month's meeting, but that he would research past practice.

Chairwoman Martin discussed the resolution that covers the state pension fund; the federal requirement to have council members look over, Attorney Livingston said will take action next month. Director Laurien will review the documents and forward to the pension committee.

VII. Planning Managers Report

Planning Manager Mr. Kinney gave the planning report for the month. He stated that any further questions the Council may have on the planning report may be emailed to him. Commissioner McDermott inquired about the Seminole County Public Schools Economic Impact Study, asking for a copy of the report once it is completed. Ms Rothbeind responded, saying that once the report is complete she will make sure Commissioner McDermott receives a copy.

VIII. Announcements/Comments

Mayor Demarco commented about *myregion.org* that was a follow-up to the November 2009 Council Meeting conversation on the potential conflict of interest between the newly restructured *myregion.org* and the Council. Director Laurien talked about what the change in their structure would do to increase their size, 400 board appointed members to eight regional councils of advisors based on economic centers they had selected. Mayor Demarco asked about economic centers when she spoke to *myregion.org* said their answer was not good, said it was population, council agrees not a good answer to that question. Director Laurien said the issue for us is that at a time when everyone is cutting back, *myregion.org* is expanding and that could present a challenge in these economic times. The council must now reevaluate the relationship since "*How Shall We Grow?*" has been completed.

Chairwoman Martin asked if there were any more comments. Commissioner McLouth said that usually December meetings are the ones where it is very difficult to get quorums, but the attendance today is so high that he feels it is a good indication of how the efforts of the council and staff have raised the levels of influence of the Council in the community.

IX. Adjournment

There being no further business before the Council, Chairwoman Martin adjourned meeting at 11:46 a.m.

ATTACHMENT 2

December 2009 Financial Report

Financial Forecast

Statement of Condition as of December 31, 2009

Cash-in-bank on December 1, 2009		\$2,385,394.40
Deposits and Interest - December 2009	\$142,435.00	
Checks Issued - December 2009	-\$147,380.50	
Cash-in-bank on December 31, 2009		<u>\$2,380,448.90</u>

Financial Forecast for January 2010

Operating Cash January 1, 2010		\$2,380,448.90
Accounts Payable on January 1, 2010		<u>-24,271.05</u>
Net Operating Cash for January 1, 2010		\$2,356,177.85

Anticipated Revenue/Expense for January 2010:		
Accounts Receivables (Revenues)	\$130,271.29	
Accounts Payables (Expenditures)	<u>-110,462.78</u>	
Net Anticipated Revenue/Expense		19,808.51
Anticipated Operating Cash for February 1, 2010		<u>\$2,375,986.36</u>

	<u>Budget</u>	<u>11/30/2009</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Under (Over)</u>	<u>25.0%</u>
		<u>Year to Date</u>	<u>December</u>	<u>Year to Date</u>		
Personnel						
Salaries & Wages (Permanent)	851,920	134,250	71,707	205,957	645,963	24.2%
Fringe Benefits	300,000	41,417	23,457	64,874	235,126	21.6%
Outside /Temporary Services	26,000	1,383	1,834	3,217	22,783	12.4%
Contract Labor-SRPP and contracts	83,645	352	(352)	-	83,645	0.0%
Interns	30,000	4,389	2,438	6,827	23,173	22.8%
Unemployment	3,500		-		3,500	0.0%
Total Personnel	1,295,065	181,791	99,084	280,875	1,014,190	21.7%
Overhead						
Annual Audit	17,000	578	-	578	16,422	3.4%
Advertising/Regional Promotion	4,000		-		4,000	0.0%
Computer Ops (General)	29,664	983	952	1,935	27,729	6.5%
Depreciation/Use Charge	12,000	2,000	1,000	3,000	9,000	25.0%
Equipment (General)	22,000	170	1,820	1,990	20,010	9.0%
Equipment Maintenance/Rental	1,500		-		1,500	0.0%
Equipment Lease/Sales Taxes	400		-		400	0.0%
Graphics/Outside Printing	30,000	2,061	2,161	4,222	25,778	14.1%
Insurance	14,000	1,757	(97)	1,660	12,340	11.9%
Inter-Regnl Bd Rel (travel/training)	3,500		-		3,500	0.0%
Legal Counsel	44,000	6,667	3,333	10,000	34,000	22.7%
Library/Publications/Subscriptions	3,000	171	50	221	2,779	7.4%
Office Supplies	12,000	1,262	912	2,174	9,826	18.1%
Pension Fund Mgmt. Fee	900		-		900	0.0%
Postage	12,000	477	727	1,204	10,796	10.0%
Professional Dues	26,000	3,975	2,594	6,569	19,431	25.3%
Rent	119,000	19,833	9,917	29,750	89,250	25.0%
Office Maintenance	2,000	345	495	840	1,160	42.0%
Staff Training	9,000	921	50	971	8,029	10.8%
Telephone & Communications	8,000	1,107	556	1,663	6,337	20.8%
Staff Travel	24,000	3,730	731	4,461	19,539	18.6%
Recruiting	4,000		-		4,000	0.0%
Hmep Training	40,000	2,000	-	2,000	38,000	5.0%
GIS Coordination	3,000		-		3,000	0.0%
GIS Data Collection	1,500		-		1,500	0.0%
Consultants (DRI)	64,000	2,400	9,976	12,376	51,624	19.3%
Consultants(SRPP)	15,000		-		15,000	0.0%
Consultants(UASI Training & Exercs	164,000		-		164,000	0.0%
Consultants CFGIS/LRTP tool	10,000		-		10,000	0.0%
Consultants (Cape Canaveral)			300	300		
Storage-Off Site Records	1,600	144	263	407	1,193	25.4%
Meeting Expenses	10,000	1,223	7	1,230	8,770	12.3%
REMI Annual Maintenance	20,000	1,717	3,433	5,150	14,850	25.8%
S. Bitar VISA Sponsorship	4,000	720	-	720	3,280	18.0%
Total Overhead	731,064	54,241	39,180	93,421	637,643	12.8%
Total Expenditures	2,026,129	236,032	138,264	374,296	1,651,833	18.5%

Project:	General	DRI	FY10 DCA	FY10 LEPC Staff Support	FY10 Haz Mat Emrg Preparedness	FDOT Cont'l & Imp of CFGIS	Regional Evacuation Study	USDC EDW/CEDS FY08-FY09	REMI Seminole County Public Schools	17-92.2 Seminole County	Casselberry Intersection 17-92 & 436	Cape Canaveral Visioning	Palm Bay Sign Code	State TEP 2010-2012 Update	State TEP 2011-2013 Update	RDSIF	UASI RFP	TIC Plan	Total	
REVENUES																				
Revenues Part:																				
Member Assessments	579,209.00																			579,209.00
Member REMI Contributions																				0.00
Federal																				0.00
State																				0.00
Local																				0.00
DRI Fees		42,788.43																		42,788.43
Other		5,951.02																		5,951.02
Total Revenues Received	585,160.02	42,788.43	0.00	4,173.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	585,160.02
Account Receivables:																				
Federal																				0.00
State																				0.00
Local/Other																				0.00
Total Accounts Receivables	585,160.02	42,788.43	111,957.24	10,250.02	187.88	1,404.10	12,161.20	14,801.46	5,000.00	36,544.65	462.92	0.00	0.00	2,322.06	50.09	1,935.88	1,331.59	5,685.75	832,043.01	
EXPENDITURES																				
Salaries	48,576.58	17,626.37	65,232.55	4,084.52	109.20	81.70	6,805.93	8,049.15	3,529.89	21,473.60	289.05	6,452.31	2,104.83	1,346.78	29.11	1,106.56	764.40	3,261.43	191,639.11	
Fringe Benefits (Pool)	15,055.53	5,717.68	18,700.83	1,332.75	35.63	263.38	2,203.54	2,626.58	1,151.78	6,037.06	87.79	1,999.32	639.45	439.44	9.50	361.06	249.42	1,084.18	57,974.11	
Indirect Cost (Pool)	18,916.04	6,939.56	24,951.05	1,610.40	43.05	321.37	2,678.26	3,173.54	1,391.73	8,178.15	106.08	2,512.43	815.80	530.99	11.48	436.28	301.38	1,285.88	74,203.01	
Unemployment Comp																				0.00
Audit Fees																				0.00
Advertising/Regional Promotion																				0.00
Computer Operations	1,184.52																			1,184.52
Dues	873.43																			873.43
Equipment	1,989.76																			1,989.76
Graphics		23.36	993.21	43.30			169.03	0.49	0.40	681.61		385.58	2.17	4.85		0.85		32.51	1,989.76	
Inter-Regul Bd Relations	1,635.93																			1,635.93
Legal	9,999.99																			9,999.99
Office Supplies	259.29																			259.29
Postage	272.51	66.39	41.07	682.10		1.65	6.14													1,085.16
Publications	177.99																			177.99
Recruiting																				0.00
Rent																				0.00
Equipment Rent & Maintenance																				0.00
Staff Training	970.75																			970.75
HMEP Training																				0.00
Taxes, Sales/Property																				0.00
Telephone	1,598.60	39.21	872.53	214.99			298.50	951.90		174.23		189.41	64.92			15.31		41.75	4,461.11	
Travel	1,421.20		1,166.00	295.20																2,882.40
Temporary Labor/Outside Services																				0.00
Interest Expense																				0.00
DATA Fees																				0.00
Consultants		12,375.86										300.00								12,675.86
GIS Coordination																				0.00
CFGIS Workshop Expense	1,230.23																			1,230.23
Meeting Expenses	5,150.00																			5,150.00
REMI Annual Maintenance																				0.00
Web Site Maintenance																				0.00
Web Site Upgrade	720.00																			720.00
S. Bihar VISA Sponsorship	840.00																			840.00
Office Maint/Painting																				0.00
New Office Fit Up																				0.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	110,872.97	42,788.43	111,957.24	10,250.02	187.88	1,404.10	12,161.20	14,801.46	5,000.00	36,544.65	462.92	0.00	2,322.06	50.09	1,935.88	1,331.59	5,685.75	374,296.62		

ATTACHMENT 3

Resolution 03-2010 Supporting Rail to Trails inclusion in
Federal Transportation Bill



East Central Florida Regional Planning Council

Resolution #03-2010

A RESOLUTION OF THE EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA PLANNING COUNCIL
URGING THE FLORIDA CONGRESSIONAL LEGISLATION TO SUPPORT THE
RAILS-TO-TRAILS CONSERVANCY'S FEDERAL INIATIVE AND INCLUSION IN THE
TRANSPORTATION BILL PROVISIONS KNOWN AS THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION
INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT PROGRAM.

WHEREAS, studies show that people are more likely to ride transit, walk, or ride their bicycles if their destinations or transit stop is less than half a mile (walking) and within two to three miles (bicycling); and

WHEREAS, nearly half of all trips in the United States are three miles or less and that with significant investment in pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, there is an opportunity to demonstrate a substantial shift to these healthy, non motorized transportation modes; and

WHEREAS, four of the five American metropolitan areas with the highest pedestrian death indices are located in Florida, Orlando being the most dangerous with 214 pedestrians killed from 2007-2008; and

WHEREAS, the responsibility to protect the public welfare by providing safe route alternatives for citizens choosing non-motorized transportation is a high Central Florida priority; and

WHEREAS, SAFETEA-LU created the Nonmotorized Transportation Pilot Program to construct a network of nonmotorized transportation infrastructure facilities, thus playing a major role in transportation solutions; and

WHEREAS, Rails-to-Trails Conservancy seeks to expand this program in the next federal transportation reauthorization to include at least 40 communities, with \$50 million on average per community over six years to promote active transportation (trails, biking and walking) for mobility; and

WHEREAS, Volusia County was one of the four Florida communities submitting case statements documenting how a focused investment of \$50 million could create interconnected non-motorized transportation system in their communities; and

WHEREAS, the recently approved Central Florida commuter rail system will function as the rail transit spine of an integrated transportation network in the region; and

WHEREAS, Volusia County is uniquely positioned to link commuter rail stations to the Spring to Spring trail and thus, the proposed 230-mile St. John's River to the Sea Loop Trail, poising Volusia County to become a national model for heritage and ecotourism destination; and

WHEREAS, the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council believes that by leveraging the resources of partnering agencies, the 2010 Campaign for Active Transportation will fund an initial, yet expandable system of pedestrian and bicycle network priorities, and make connections to neighborhoods, transit stops and facilities to significantly increase walking, bicycling and transit as viable modes of travel in East Central Florida and throughout the state.

ATTACHMENT 4

Approval of the FPL Energy Secure Line Pipeline Agency
Report



EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

309 Cranes Roost Blvd. Suite 2000 · Altamonte Springs, FL 32701
Phone (407).262.7772 · Fax (407).262.7788 · www.ecfrpc.org

Philip Laurien, AICP
Executive Director

January 12, 2010

Ann Seiler
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Florida Energy and Siting Office
2600 Blair Stone Rd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: Proposed FPL Energy Secure Line Determination of Sufficiency

Dear Ms. Seiler,

Pursuant to 403.9407, F.S., the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council staff has conducted a review of the FPL Energy Secure Line application packet. The ECFRPC conditions are below.

- A. During the construction phase of the project, as per ECFRPC Policy 2.1, *existing regulations regarding generation, storage, treatment, disposal, monitoring and transportation of hazardous waste and materials shall be enforced.*
- B. Efforts to protect natural water bodies and wetlands during the construction phase from siltation, erosion and sedimentation should be employed as per ECFRPC policies 4. 10, 4.11, and 4.23.
 - *Policy 4.10: In order to protect natural water bodies, water courses and wetlands from siltation, Best Management Practices (BMPs) for control of erosion and sedimentation shall be employed ... Erosion and sediment control BMPs include those of the SCS, FDOT, FDEP, FDACS, and IFAS.)*
 - *Policy 4. 11: Hydrological and ecological functioning of the region's river systems shall be protected. Activities that would significantly and adversely alter the stage, discharge or quality of rivers and tributaries within regionally significant watersheds should not be permitted.*
 - *Policy 4.23: Proposed activities that would degrade the function of wetlands or deepwater habitat shall not be permitted, except where such activities are not contrary to the public interest, and there is no practical alternative that reduces or avoids impacts to wetlands or deepwater habitat.*

Executive Committee

Chair

Mary Martin
Vice Mayor of Port Orange
Volusia County League of Cities

Vice Chair

Cheryl Grieb
City Commissioner
City of Kissimmee

Treasurer

Elaine Renick
Commissioner
Lake County

Secretary

Daniel O'Keefe
Gubernatorial Appointee
Orange County

- C. Habitat corridors, vegetative communities, and endangered species shall be protected and any disturbances should be re-established to before construction conditions, or better as per ECFRPC policies:
- *4.24: Land use plans, land development regulations and development approvals shall ensure protection of rare or endangered ecosystems.*
 - *4.27: Habitat corridors identified by the FGFWFC, FDEP, FNAI, Regional Planning Council or local governments as important to movement of non-avian wildlife should be protected from disturbances that would render the corridors unusable or dangerous to the species that frequent them. Unacceptable disturbances include activities resulting in significant reductions in native vegetation in the habitat corridor's understory, shrub or canopy layers.*
 - *4.30: Natural vegetative communities, native plant species and native animal species that currently exist in the East Central Florida Region shall be conserved and protected to ensure that the full complement of such communities and species continues to exist in perpetuity within the region.*
 - *4.31: Planning and development approval shall avoid adverse impacts to listed species.*
- D. To the extent possible, the co-location of the pipeline in existing utility corridors or other rights of way is encouraged as per ECFRPC Policy 7.14.2

Sincerely,

Phil Laurien, Executive Director

ATTACHMENT 5

Resolution #1- 2010 In opposition to Amendment 4



East Central Florida Regional Planning Council

Resolution #01-2010

*EXPRESSION OF OPPOSITION BY EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL
PLANNING COUNCIL TO PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 4
"HOMETOWN DEMOCRACY"*

WHEREAS, Amendment No. 4 to the Constitution of the State of Florida, proposed by Florida Hometown Democracy, Inc., has been certified to appear on the November 2, 2010 general election ballot; and

WHEREAS, Hometown Democracy Constitutional Amendment No. 4 would require that before a local government may adopt a new comprehensive land use plan, or amend a comprehensive land use plan, the proposed plan or amendment shall be subject to vote of the electors of the local government by referendum, following preparation by the local planning agency and consideration by the governing body; and

WHEREAS, the ECFRPC spent thousands of hours in 2006 and 2007 in partnership with *myregion.org*, Florida DCA, FDOT, University of Florida, MetroPlan Orlando, Lake Sumter MPO, Volusia MPO, and Brevard MPO preparing a fifty year vision for the region; and

WHEREAS, this regional visioning effort directly involved 3,000 citizens participating at 30 workshops, and 20,000 citizen at community presentations; and

WHEREAS, the East Central Florida 2050 Regional Vision was adopted as a series of goals, policies and vision renderings by ECFRPC, and all its partners, and by representatives of the 93 jurisdictions with land use authority in the 6 county ECFRPC region, plus sister county Polk; and

WHEREAS, the state of Florida requires regional planning councils to adopt a Strategic Regional Policy Plan; and

WHEREAS, the ECFRPC has spent the past two years updating its Strategic Regional Policy Plan to comport with the adopted Regional Vision, and is preparing to distribute the draft plan to its members, affiliated agencies and DCA for the purpose of adoption by the ECFRPC in compliance with Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the Strategic Regional Policy Plan is intended to influence the development patterns and densities of the region for the next 50 years, which will require that the 74 local governments within the region examine the Strategic Regional Policy Plan and make necessary amendments to their local comprehensive plans as may be needed to assure they comply with the Strategic Regional Policy Plan; and

WHEREAS, Proposed Amendment 4 would require all Amendments to local government comprehensive plans to be presented individually to the voters; and

WHEREAS, this would require hundreds of individual ballot questions needed to implement compliance of local comprehensive plans with the regional policy plan, at a cost to the taxpayers of hundreds of thousands of dollars; and

WHEREAS, the council supports the principals and policies of representational democracy inherent in the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS, the Council likewise supports active citizen participation in the comprehensive planning process, and therefore supports an alternative to Amendment 4 establishing a citizen's right of referendum appealing land use decisions, after the fact, on a case by case basis;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council is opposed to Hometown Democracy Constitutional Amendment No. 4.

FULLY ADOPTED by the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council on this 20th day of January, 2010.

ATTEST:

Mary Martin
ECFRPC Chair

Phil Laurien
Executive Director

ATTACHMENT 6

Resolution #2- 2010 In support of alternative Citizen' Right of
Appeal to land use decision.



East Central Florida Regional Planning Council

Resolution #02-2010

IN SUPPORT OF A CITIZEN'S RIGHT OF APPROVAL TO LAND USE DECISION BY EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL PROPOSING AN ALTERNATIVE TO PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 4 "HOMETOWN DEMOCRACY"

WHEREAS, Amendment No. 4 to the Constitution of the State of Florida, proposed by Florida Hometown Democracy, Inc., has been certified to appear on the November 2, 2010 general election ballot; and

WHEREAS, Proposed Amendment 4 would require all Amendments to local government comprehensive plans to be presented individually to the voters; and

WHEREAS, this would require hundreds of individual ballot questions needed to implement compliance of local comprehensive plans with the regional policy plan, at a cost to the taxpayers of hundreds of thousands of dollars; and

WHEREAS, the council supports the principals and policies of representational democracy inherent in the comprehensive planning process; and

WHEREAS, the Council likewise supports active citizen participation in the comprehensive planning process, and therefore supports an alternative to Amendment 4 establishing a citizen's right of referendum appealing land use decisions, after the fact, on a case by case basis;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council request the Florida Legislative to consider adoption of Joint Legislative Resolutions for a Constitutional Amendment as an alternative to Amendment #4 ("Hometown Democracy") as outlined in Attachment A appended to this Resolution.

DULY ADOPTED by the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council on this 20th day of January, 2010.

ATTEST:

Mary Martin
ECFRPC Chair

Phil Laurien
Executive Director

"Attachment A"

**EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL
(RESOLUTION # 02-2010)**

**REQUESTING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO CONSIDER
ADOPTION OF**

**JOINT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTIONS FOR A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AS AN
ALTERNATIVE TO
AMENDMENT # 4 ("HOMETOWN DEMOCRACY")**

THE EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, having considered and evaluated the implications and negative impacts proposed Amendment # 4 will have upon comprehensive planning at the state, regional and local level, the evisceration Amendment #4 will have upon representational democracy in the adoption and amendment of comprehensive land use plans, and the need nevertheless to promote active citizen participation in the comprehensive planning process, respectfully request the Florida Legislature to favorably consider adoption of a joint resolution pursuant to the provisions of Section 1, Article XI of the Florida Constitution for the purpose of providing an alternative constitutional amendment to appear on the November 2, 2010 general election ballot allowing for citizen appeal of the adoption or amendment of a local government comprehensive land use plan as follows:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF FLORIDA THAT:

Article II, Section 7. Natural resources and scenic beauty of the Florida Constitution is amended to add the following subsection:

Public participation and right of appeal in the local government land use planning process is essential to the conservation and protection of Florida's natural resources and scenic beauty. Adoption of, or amendments to, local government land use plans shall be considered by the governing body of the local government following preparation by the local planning agency and public notice, as provided by general law. The adoption of, or amendment to, a local government land use plan shall become effective thirty days after the date of its adoption, unless, within thirty days after its the adoption, there is presented to the governing board of the local government a petition signed by a number of qualified voters residing within the jurisdictional boundaries of the local government equal to not less than eight per cent of the total votes cast for all candidates for governor in that jurisdiction at the most recent general election at which a governor was elected, requesting the governing board to submit the adoption or amendment to the electors of such jurisdiction for approval or rejection by referendum on the day of the earliest of the next special, primary or general election. Notice and referendum will be as provided by general law. No amendment for which such a referendum vote has been requested shall be put into effect unless a majority of the vote cast on the issue is in favor of the amendment. Upon certification by the Supervisor of Elections that the amendment has been approved by the voters, it shall take immediate effect. This amendment shall become effective immediately upon approval by the electors of Florida.

For the purposes of this subsection:

1. "Local government" means a county or municipality.
2. "Local government comprehensive land use plan" means a plan to guide and control future land development in an area under the jurisdiction of a local government.
3. "Local planning agency" means the agency of a local government that is responsible for the preparation of a comprehensive land use plan and plan amendments after public notice and hearings and for making recommendations to the governing body of the local government regarding the adoption or amendment of a comprehensive land use plan.
4. "Governing body" means the board of county commissioners of a county, the commission or council of a municipality, or the chief elected body of a county or municipality, however designated.

HANDOUT

Contract

Seminole County US 17-92.2 Corridor Analysis



East Central Florida Regional Planning Council

Resolution #04-2010

*AUTHORIZING EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL
TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH FDOT FOR CORRIDOR ANALYSIS OF S.R. 50*

WHEREAS, the FDOT has requested planning assistance by the ECFRPC to certain land use analysis work on S.R. 50; and

WHEREAS, the ECFRPC is interested in assisting FDOT on the S. R. 50 Corridor Study as defined in the scope of work in FDOT JPA FM# 410830-4-14-01; and

WHEREAS, the contract amount is for \$23,000.00 and the work timetable is (3) three months from the signature date;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council Executive Director is authorized to sign the S.R. 50 contract as described and attached hereto.

DULY ADOPTED by the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council on this 20th day of January, 2010.

ATTEST:

Mary Martin
ECFRPC Chair

Phil Laurien
Executive Director